UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/829,056      | 04/21/2004                             | Sheldon H. Foss JR.  | 03001.1070          | 6800             |  |  |
|                 | 7590 10/28/200<br>Law by Smith & Frohw | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |  |
| P.O. Box 88148  | 3                                      | KAZIMI, HANI M       |                     |                  |  |  |
| Atlanta, GA 30  | 330                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
|                 |  |                      | 3691                |                  |  |  |
|                 |  |                      |                     |                  |  |  |
|                 |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |  |
|                 |  |                      | 10/28/2008          | PAPER            |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Communication   |  | 1   | Application No.   | n No. Applicant(s)  |   |              |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
|   |  |   | 10/829,056  |   | FOSS ET AL.   |              |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |  |   | Examiner  |   | Art Unit  |              |  |  |  |
|   |  |   | Hani Kazimi   |   | 3691  |              |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>r Reply   | ication appea   | ars on the cover  | sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad  | ddress       |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any r   | CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M<br>Isions of time may be available under the provisions<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm<br>period for reply is specified above, the maximum state<br>to reply within the set or extended period for reply<br>eply received by the Office later than three months and<br>ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DAT<br>s of 37 CFR 1.136(<br>nunication.<br>atutory period will<br>will, by statute, ca | TE OF THIS CO  (a). In no event, howe  apply and will expire sause the application to | MMUNICATION wer, may a reply be time BIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI   | L. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133). | •            |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| 1) 又  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on <i>16 May</i>   | / 2008  |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| •   | •  |   | ction is non-fina   | d.  |   |              |  |  |  |
| ′=  | Since this application is in condition   | <i>,</i> —  |   |   | secution as to the  | e merits is  |  |  |  |
| - <b>,</b>  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂   | Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6-26</u> is/are pendi  | ing in the app  | olication.  |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| ·   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
|   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| 6)🖂   | 6) Claim(s) 1, 3, 4 and 6-26 is/are rejected.  |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| · ·   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| 8)  | Claim(s) are subject to restrict   | ction and/or e  | election requirer   | nent.   |   |              |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| 9)□   | The specification is objected to by th   | e Examiner.   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| -   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  |   | oted or b)⊟ obj   | ected to by the E   | Examiner.   |              |  |  |  |
| •   | Applicant may not request that any obje  | ction to the dra  | awing(s) be held  | in abeyance. See  | 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |              |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including   | the correction  | n is required if the  | drawing(s) is obj   | ected to. See 37 C  | FR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |   |   |   |              |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notic 3) Inforr   | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date   | PTO-948)  | 5)  | Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>Notice of Informal Pa<br>Other: | te  |              |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3691

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on May 16, 2008. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-26 are pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Volino US Patent No. 6,400,845 B1 in view of Risafi et al US Patent No. 6,473,500 B1

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-26, Volino discloses a method and corresponding system for

Art Unit: 3691

processing a point-of-sale transaction at a merchant terminal, comprising the steps scanning a personal identification document corresponding to a customer requesting a financial service at a merchant terminal; generating a scanned image of the personal identification document; identifying character data in the scanned image; comparing the character data to a document template corresponding to the personal identification document to generate customer data, mapping the character data using a document template to identify types of character data and assigning the character data as values for the identified types of character data to generate customer data used in processing the point-of-sale transaction, performing an optical character recognition process on the regions containing text to obtain customer data values, associating the customer data values with the definitions obtained from the template, and populating fields of a displayed form with the customer data values as claimed in claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-26 (abstract, figs. 2, 3 5A, 6 and 10-12 and related text in the detailed description, and column 2, line 30 thru column 3).

Volino does not explicitly teach an interface configured to communicate customer data interpreted from the personal identification document to a host processing element that supports a financial service transaction via the merchant terminal.

Risafi teaches an interface configured to communicate customer data interpreted from the personal identification document to a host processing element that supports a financial service transaction via the merchant terminal (column 6, line 47 thru column 8, line 52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Volino to include an interface configured

Art Unit: 3691

to communicate customer data interpreted from the personal identification document to a host processing element that supports a financial service transaction via the merchant terminal as taught by Risafi. One would have been motivated to do so in order to provide verification and/or authorization of data from the central processing element.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (571) 272-6745. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/829,056 Page 5

Art Unit: 3691

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hani M. Kazimi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691